

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	-ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,917	10/05/2005	Mats Nilsson	528.1201USN	6231
33369 EASTILLAW	7590 01/09/2008 OFFICES (BOLE EASTIL)	EXAMINER		
26 PINECRES	OFFICES (ROLF FASTH) T PLAZA, SUITE 2		BARTOSIK, ANTHONY N	
SOUTHERN P	INES, NC 28387-4301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/551,917	NILSSON, MATS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony N. Bartosik	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 O</u>	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
,	-					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 October 2005 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

10/551,917 Art Unit: 3635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "11" has been used to designate both spherical housing and inner structure. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "12" has been used to designate both cellular plastic housing and outer structure. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 8 contains the word "and." It appears that "and" is a typographical error and should be "an."

  Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the enclosure objects." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

10/551,917 Art Unit: 3635

4. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the objects." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 8. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The disclosure does not adequately describe how the outer structure (12) that through pulling forces on the outer structure holds the inner structure together when the outer and inner structure are not bonded to each other.
- 9. Claim 9 is additionally rejected under 112, second paragraph as being indefinite regarding the thickness of the plastic and how that affects the condensation inside the

10/551,917 Art Unit: 3635

dome. The claim nor the specification point out how the thickness of the plastic changes the amount of condensation in the dome.

- 10. Claim 12 is additionally rejected under 112, second paragraph as an improper use claim as the claim attempts to claim a process without setting forth any steps involved in the process. See/review MPEP 2173.05(q).
- 11. Claims 13 and 14 are improper method steps. Method claims are meant to instruct the reader what action has to be taken. Typically words setting forth a series of steps requiring an action to be taken and not one already performed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 13. Claims 1, 2, 10, and 11, as best understood and in consideration of the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections previously stated, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cooley (US 5,325,642).
- 14. In Re claim 1, Figure 1 of Cooley discloses a dome being of a material and structure that maintains the shape of the dome and the clearance between the dome and the enclosed objects characterized in that the dome comprises and inner structure

10/551,917 Art Unit: 3635

- (12) that withstands external pressure forces and an outer structure (10) that through pulling forces on the outer structure holds the inner structure (12) together, which outer (10) and inner (12) structures are not bonded to each other and at least the outer structure is attachable to a base mount (16,70).
- 15. In Re claim 2, Figure 1 of Cooley discloses an inner structure that is attachable to said base mount.
- 16. In Re claim 10, Figure 1 of Cooley discloses a dome that is provided with means for maintaining a pressure within the dome that slightly exceeds the surrounding pressure. Examiner notes that the pressure of the substance within the inner dome would be higher than the atmospheric pressure outside the dome. The Examiner further notes that since the dome is designed to contain a substance within the dome, it would thereby include a means for maintaining the substance as well as the pressure.
- 17. In Re claim 11, Figure 1 of Cooley is capable of enveloping electronic radio communication equipment in that the material of the inner and outer structures are such that radio waves are able to penetrate the dome.

10/551,917 Art Unit: 3635

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 19. Claims 3-5, and 7-9, as best understood and in consideration of the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections previously stated, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooley.
- 20. In Re claim 3, Figure 1 of Cooley discloses the claimed invention except for the rigid cellular plastic material. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use rigid plastic material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. MPEP 2144.04.
- 21. In Re claim 4, Figure 1 of Cooley discloses the claimed invention except for the UV-resistant fabric. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use UV-resistant fabric, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. MPEP 2144.04.

10/551,917 Art Unit: 3635

- 22. In Re claim 5, Figure 1 of Cooley discloses the claimed invention except for the wind-stopper fabric. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use wind-stopper fabric, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. MPEP 2144.04
- 23. In Re claim 7, Figure 1 of Cooley discloses the claimed invention except for the number of vertically directed expansion chambers. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use a number of vertically directed expansion chambers, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. MPEP 2144.04.
- In Re claim 8, Figure 1 of Cooley teaches a dome according to claim 4 except for the use of zips. It is notoriously well known to use zips in connection with fabric to join to pieces. It therefore, would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to employ a number of zips to join the fabric edges together.
- 25. In Re claim 9, Figure 1 of Cooley teaches the claimed invention except for the use of cellular plastic. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manufacture the dome from cellular plastic, since it has been held to be

10/551,917 Art Unit: 3635

within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. MPEP 2144.04.

- 26. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooley in view of Leslie (US 6,266,927).
- 27. In Re claim 6, Figure 1 of Cooley teaches the claimed invention except for the straps. Lesile however, teaches the use a number of straps that are mounted on the outer structure in order to holding the dome in place. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use straps on the outer dome to hole the dome in place.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony N. Bartosik whose telephone number is 571-270-3112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00; E.D.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/551,917 Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Chilcot Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3635

AB 11/2007